


REMARKS

The present letter and amendment are in response to the Office Action dated February 23, 2006 for the above identified application. Claims 2-27 are currently pending and in the Office Action the Examiner allows claims 2-26 and rejects claim 27 under 35 U.S.C. 112 as being indefinite. The Examiner appears to contend that the indefiniteness is a result of an improper antecedent basis caused by claim 27 being an apparatus claim that recites a limitation depending from a method claim and suggests listing all the details of the apparatus in the claim to remove the indefiniteness.

In response claim 27 is amended as the Examiner suggests. Applicant submits that the application is in condition for allowance and respectfully awaits same.

Respectfully submitted,  
Gideon P. STEIN

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Allan C. ENTIS  
Reg. No. 52,866

May 23, 2006

William H. Dippert, Esq.  
Wolf, Block, Schorr & Solis-Cohen LLP  
250 Park Avenue  
New York, NY 10177

Tel: (212) 986 1116